



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/844,164		04/27/2001	Ryan Robertson	35451/126 (3623.Palm)	1779	
26371	7590	02/15/2006		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP				CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY		
777 EAST W SUITE 3800		IN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308				2686		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/844,164	ROBERTSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joy K. Contee	2686		

İ	July K. Contee	2000							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cjected cidims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,	/						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).						
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues since the limitation now requires, in summary, effectuating the communications channel to the emergency service when the state of any software operating on the device is in a non-communications mode and in a communications mode. This limitation is not found in any other independent or dependent claims, hence Examiner would have to further consider and/or search for examination.

M CONTEE PATENT EXAMINER 571-272-7906